

March 8, 2013

Mr. Jamie J. Muñoz Counsel for the La Joya Independent School District P.O. Box 47 San Juan, Texas 78589

OR2013-03948

Dear Mr. Muñoz:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 485744.

The La Joya Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received a request for all reassignment letters sent to district employees by two named individuals during a specified time period and all recommendations of reassignment sent by another named individual during the same specified time period. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.102, and 552.117 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information if (1) the information contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) the information is not of legitimate concern to the public. See Indus. Found. v. Texas Indus. Accident Bd., 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both elements of the test must be established. Id. at 681-82. The type of information considered intimate or embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court in Industrial Foundation included information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental

disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. *Id.* at 683. We note the public generally has a legitimate interest in information that relates to public employment and public employees. *See* Open Records Decisions Nos. 562 at 10 (1990) (personnel file information does not involve most intimate aspects of human affairs, but in fact touches on matters of legitimate public concern), 470 at 4 (1987) (public has legitimate interest in job qualifications and performance of public employees), 444 at 5-6 (1986) (public has legitimate interest in knowing reasons for dismissal, demotion, promotion, or resignation of public employees), 423 at 2 (1984) (scope of public employee privacy is narrow). Upon review, we find you have failed to demonstrate any of the submitted information is highly intimate or embarrassing and a matter of no legitimate public interest. Therefore, no portion of the submitted information may be withheld under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy.

Section 552.102(a) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information in a personnel file, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy." Gov't Code § 552.102(a). We understand you to assert the privacy analysis under section 552.102(a) is the same as the common-law privacy test under section 552.101 of the Government Code, which is discussed above. See Indus. Found., 540 S.W.2d at 685. In Hubert v. Harte-Hanks Texas Newspapers, Inc., 652 S.W.2d 546, 549-51 (Tex. App.—Austin 1983, writ ref'd n.r.e.), the court of appeals ruled the privacy test under section 552.102(a) is the same as the Industrial Foundation privacy test. However, the Texas Supreme Court has expressly disagreed with Hubert's interpretation of section 552.102(a), and held the privacy standard under section 552.102(a) differs from the Industrial Foundation test under section 552.101. See Tex. Comptroller of Pub. Accounts v. Attorney Gen. of Tex., 354 S.W.3d 336 (Tex. 2010). The Supreme Court also considered the applicability of section 552.102(a) and held it excepts from disclosure the dates of birth of state employees in the payroll database of the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts. See id. at 348. Upon review, we find you have failed to demonstrate the applicability of section 552.102(a) to any of the submitted information, and the district may not withhold any of the submitted information on this basis.

Section 552.117(a)(1) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure the home addresses and telephone numbers, social security numbers, emergency contact information, and family member information of current or former officials or employees of a governmental body who request this information be kept confidential under section 552.024 of the Government Code. Gov't Code § 552.117(a)(1). We note a post office box number is not a "home address" for purposes of section 552.117. See Open Records Decision No. 622 at 6 (1994) (legislative history makes clear purpose of section 552.117 is to protect public employees from being harassed at home). Whether a particular piece of information is protected by section 552.117(a)(1) must be determined at the time the request for it is made. See Open Records Decision No. 530 at 5 (1989). Thus, information may only be withheld under section 552.117(a)(1) on behalf of a current or former employee who made a request for confidentiality under section 552.024 prior to the date of the governmental body's receipt of

the request for the information. We have marked the personal information of district employees. If the employees whose personal information is at issue timely elected to keep their information confidential pursuant to section 552.024, the district must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.117(a)(1). The district may not withhold this information under section 552.117(a)(1) if the employees did not timely elect to keep their information confidential pursuant to section 552.024. As you raise no further exceptions to disclosure, the district must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Sean Nottingham

Sen Notty

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

SN/tch

Ref: ID# 485744

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor

(w/o enclosures)